



# Marshall (E.J.) Elementary school

12045 Telephone Avenue • Chino, CA 91710-4130 • 909-627-9741 • Grades K-6

Diana Escalante, Principal

diana\_escalante@chino.k12.ca.us

www.chino.k12.ca.us

## 2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



### Chino Valley Unified School District

5130 Riverside Drive  
Chino, CA 91710-4130  
(909) 628-1201  
www.chino.k12.ca.us

#### District Governing Board

Pamela Feix, President  
James Na, Vice President  
Irene Hernandez-Blair, Clerk  
Andrew Cruz, Member  
Sylvia Orozco, Member  
Jonah Botello, Student  
Representative

#### District Administration

Wayne M. Joseph  
**Superintendent**  
Norm Enfield, Ed.D.  
**Deputy Superintendent**  
Sandra H. Chen  
**Assistant Superintendent, Business  
Services**  
Lea Fellows  
**Assistant Superintendent, Human  
Resources**  
Grace Park, Ed.D.  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Curriculum, Instruction,  
Innovation, and Support**  
Gregory J. Stachura  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Facilities, Planning & Operations**

### School Description

E.J. Marshall Elementary students are expected to achieve high levels of learning in a positive environment through collaboration with faculty, students, parents, and the community.

### VISION & VALUES

E.J. Marshall Commitments

**Staff Commitment:** We are committed to creating a school environment where every student will SHINE. Every student will be successful, develop their personal character, and master academic skills. The elementary education we provide will serve as the foundation upon which our students will build their lives.

**Student Commitment:** We, as students of E.J. Marshall Elementary, are committed to learning how to SHINE. We will demonstrate a positive attitude toward our learning, our fellow students, and teachers. We will work to develop our character and our academic skills. We will take responsibility for the choices we make and work together to make E.J. Marshall Elementary a safe place for us to grow. We will ask our teachers and family for support when we need it and always give our best effort. The education we earn at E.J. Marshall Elementary will be the foundation upon which we can build our lives.

**Family Commitment:** We, as E.J. Marshall families, are committed to our children's education. We will ensure that our children are present, on time, and prepared for school daily. We will be active participants in our children's education both inside and outside of school. The support we provide our children will enable them to SHINE.

### Daily Character Pledge:

- I pledge to be a person of CHARACTER.
- I will be worthy of TRUST.
- I will be RESPECTFUL and RESPONSIBLE, doing what I must.
- I will always act with FAIRNESS.
- I will show that I CARE.
- I will be a good CITIZEN, and always do my share.

Character Counts at E.J. Marshall Elementary School!

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	53
Grade 1	68
Grade 2	59
Grade 3	55
Grade 4	81
Grade 5	74
Grade 6	69
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>459</b>

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	2.8
Filipino	1.1
Hispanic or Latino	86.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	7.2
Two or More Races	0.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.4
English Learners	24.6
Students with Disabilities	14.4
Foster Youth	0.2

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Marshall (E.J.) Elementary school	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	22.5	24.5	25.5
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Chino Valley Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	1275.6
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	12
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	1

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Marshall (E.J.) Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)**

On October 5, 2017, the Chino Valley Unified School District’s (CVUSD) Board of Education held a public hearing to certify the extent to which textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to students. The Board of Education adopted Resolution 2017/2018-20 which certifies as required by Education Code 60119 that (1) sufficient textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to each student, including English learners, that are aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and context of the curriculum frameworks in the following subjects: history/social science, mathematics, reading/language arts, and science, (2) sufficient textbooks or instructional materials were provided to each pupil enrolled in foreign language or health classes, (3) laboratory equipment was available for science laboratory classes offered in grades 9-12, inclusive.

Textbooks and instructional materials are provided for each student for use in class and to take home. It was determined that each pupil in each school has sufficient textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to the academic content standards in the core subject areas for the 2017/2018 school year. Additionally, sufficient textbooks and/or instructional materials were provided to each pupil for visual and performing arts.

<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials</b>	
<b>Year and month in which data were collected: October 2017</b>	
<b>Core Curriculum Area</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption</b>
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-6 McGraw-Hill School Education; CA Reading Wonders (Adopted: 2017)  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) TK Center for Innovation in Education; Math Their Way (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman; enVision Math California (Adopted: 2014) 6 Houghton Mifflin & Harcourt, Big Ideas Math, Course 1, Course 2, and Course 3 (Adopted: 2015)  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>Science</b>	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Houghton Mifflin Company; Houghton Mifflin California Science (Adopted: 2008) 6 Pearson Scott Foresman; Scott Foresman Focus on California Earth Science (Adopted: 2008)  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	TK MacMillan/McGraw-Hill; Little Treasurers (All Day National Kit, Read Aloud Big Books, Trade Books, plus additional components) (Adopted: 2012) K-5 Harcourt School Publishers; Reflections: California Series (Adopted: 2007) 6 Holt, Rinehart and Winston; Holt California Social Studies (Adopted: 2007)  <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Chino Valley Unified School District (CVUSD) makes it a priority to maintain safe, clean, and effective school sites for our students, staff, and parents. The safety of students is of paramount importance to CVUSD. In the morning, staff supervision is provided to watch the cafeteria, entrance gates, and playground activities. During lunch and recess times, noon ground supervisors and teachers monitor students to ensure students interact safely. At the end of the school day, staff supervise student dismissal. The staff and student work spaces for this site are cleaned on a regular basis by the school site custodial staff. In addition to regular cleanings, deep cleanings are scheduled and carried out during breaks and vacation periods. The school facility is adequate and has sufficient classrooms, playground, and staff spaces in order to operate efficiently. There are no planned or recently completed school improvements for this site. To ensure sites are clean, safe and functional, Maintenance and Operations department staff conduct inspections at the school sites on a continual basis. School sites may also enter maintenance requests by accessing the School Dude system. After the school site request is entered into the system, a work order is generated, and the Maintenance staff work diligently to complete all work orders in a timely manner. The Maintenance team utilized the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT), a survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), to record inspection results. The overall rating of this site's most recent survey is GOOD, and any associated repairs were completed on or before December 30, 2017.

In addition to the District inspection that took place on July 6, 2017, representatives from the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools (SBCSS) conduct annual Williams visits to schools ranked in deciles 1-3 in San Bernardino County to evaluate their "good repair" status. The Williams inspection for this site occurred on September 8, 2017. Results from this inspection are included below, and any associated repairs were completed by the end of December 30, 2017.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: July 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			MPR, ROOMS 22, 24, 25: Replace/repair drawer/cabinet ROOM 33: 1.) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 2.) Exposed wires (no voltage, or low voltage i.e. data or communication/phone lines) (HVAC cover TSTAT) Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			BOYS AND GIRLS RESTROOM BUILDING: Cluttered classrooms Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			ROOM 33: 1.) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 2.) Exposed wires (no voltage, or low voltage i.e. data or communication/phone lines) (HVAC cover TSTAT) Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			WILLIAMS: RESTROOMS - Graffiti etched in to restroom fixtures and/or partitions Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: July 2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			WILLIAMS: PLAYGROUND - Exterior utility cover is damaged , missing or unsecured WILLIAMS: PLAYGROUND - Block/brick walls or structures are deteriorating or damaged Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			WILLIAMS: PLAYGROUND - Fencing has holes or is not secured properly WILLIAMS: PLAYGROUND - Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes or deteriorations WILLIAMS: PLAYGROUND - Overgrown vegetation poses a trip/safety hazard Deficiencies were corrected on or before December 30, 2017
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Poor</b>
		X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	43	45	59	57	48	48
Math	28	31	47	46	36	37

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	36	51	64	63	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	25	31.2	20.3

\* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group  
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	74	71	96.0	50.7
Male	36	34	94.4	50.0
Female	38	37	97.4	51.4
Hispanic or Latino	62	60	96.8	48.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	56	96.6	51.8
English Learners	25	24	96.0	29.2
Students with Disabilities	14	13	92.9	30.8

\* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	283	277	97.88	45.13
Male	132	130	98.48	42.31
Female	151	147	97.35	47.62
Hispanic or Latino	241	239	99.17	42.68
White	22	21	95.45	52.38
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	228	223	97.81	39.01
English Learners	100	99	99	44.44
Students with Disabilities	53	50	94.34	12

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics  
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	283	278	98.23	30.94
Male	132	130	98.48	31.54
Female	151	148	98.01	30.41
Hispanic or Latino	241	239	99.17	28.45
White	22	21	95.45	42.86
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	228	224	98.25	28.13
English Learners	100	100	100	29
Students with Disabilities	54	51	94.44	7.84

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

At E.J. Marshall Elementary there are many partnership possibilities for parents and our community. These partnerships include a large number of programs, organizations, and collaborations to enhance the school and provide for the needs of our students. Programs such as Parents are Teachers Too (PATT), Family Stories, Math and Literacy Nights, and Partnerships for Learning programs that seek to educate parents in how to become more involved.

Parents can become involved in the decision making by participating in many of our committees and organizations such as PTO, English Learners Advisory Council, School Site Council, and others. The community is involved through partnerships with the City of Chino providing SOAR, counseling, and other services.

The school has also partnered with local business and service clubs to provide a variety of awards and services to honor a student's individual achievement and meet other student needs. Each of these collaborations and partnerships continue to enhance the quality of education at E.J. Marshall Elementary School.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### School Safety Plan

Each school has a detailed School Site Safety Plan for emergencies that is annually reviewed, updated, and discussed by the School Site Council. The date on which the School Site Safety Plan was last annually reviewed was March 2017, and the plans are reviewed monthly by the School Site Council. Each staff member is assigned a specific responsibility in the event of an emergency. Staff members are trained in the plan's components and procedures. Fire, earthquake, and intruder drills are held on a regular basis so that all students and staff are familiar with emergency procedures. Emergency supplies are located on each campus in the event of an emergency.

Assigned staff monitors school grounds daily before, during, and after school. The District policy regarding campus visitors is enforced by requiring everyone to check in at the school office and obtain a visitor's badge. A visitor sign-in log is located in the school office and all visitors must sign in before going onto the campus. In addition, all visitors must wear an identifying badge while on campus. To increase security, all gates remain locked during the school day, so visitors must enter campus through the school office.

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to providing an environment that fosters health and safety, in both form and function. This commitment extends to the process of developing and maintaining a comprehensive Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan as a part of the District's Safe Schools Plan and is evident from the individual site to the overall District. These plans delineate actions to protect all students while they are at school. The plans are designed with the help of security staff members, local law enforcement, local fire, and emergency management, and public health officials as required by Education Code 32280-32282.

Plans are reviewed and updated yearly in accordance with Education Code 32286. These plans include procedures to respond to critical incidents, such as fire, earthquake, or intruders. School personnel practice these drills regularly.

The primary purpose of the Chino Valley Unified School District Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan is to define roles and responsibilities at the site and between the site and district office management. The Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan establishes the minimum requirements for school and site plans throughout the District. This Plan meets the requirements of the Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) as mandated by California Code of Regulations, Sections 2400-2450, and the National Incident Management System (NIMS) as mandated by Government Code 8607. It also meets the requirements for earthquake preparedness found in Title 5 (California State Education Code, Sections 35295-35297).

### Suspensions and Expulsions

School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.07	1.38	0.19
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.32	3.2	2.46
Expulsions Rate	0.08	0.09	0.08
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2012-2013	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	13	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	72.2	

#### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.34
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.4
Psychologist	0.4
Nurse	0.27
Resource Specialist	1.5
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	20	28	27	1	0		2	2	2		0	
1	24	27	27		0		2	2	2		0	
2	26	26	27		0		3	2	2		0	
3	27	24	22		0	1	3	3	3		0	
4	23	28	29		0		3	3	2		0	
5	31	28	28		0		2	2	3		0	
6	29	28	28	1	0			2	2	2	0	
Other			14			1						

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

#### Professional Development provided for Teachers

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to high quality Professional Learning to support the instructional capacity of teachers and leaders. Professional learning opportunities are aligned to CVUSD's Area of Emphasis for 4C's-Critical Thinking and Collaboration, Common Core ELA, Common Core Math, Positive Student Behavior, Instructional Technology, Timely Feedback on Formative Assessments, and Historical Inquiry. These areas were identified by the Teaching and Learning Task Force as focus areas for our district in 2017-18. Professional learning opportunities are evaluated through survey results, feedback, and next steps from end-users. Professional learning opportunities are varied in its delivery: district-wide days during school hours, after-school workshops, site based- PD offerings and volunteer sessions. Implementation for learning is supported through Site-Based PD from Site Administrators and coaching opportunities from Instructional Coaches.



FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,894	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$76,263	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,096	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$116,526	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$117,928	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$132,309	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$230,000	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	41%	35%
Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

### Types of Services Funded

The District's general fund includes monies for:

1. General operations- services, materials, and support to the general education.
2. Specific education-programs offering appropriate, individualized education to students with special needs.
3. Special projects- monies from agencies (e.g., federal, state) earmarked for specific services.
4. Transportation
5. Maintenance and operations
6. District administration
7. LCFF/LCAP- Serves targeted students of the district See District's LCAP plan located on [www.cvusd.k12.ca.us](http://www.cvusd.k12.ca.us)

Each school in the District receives an instructional budget based upon enrollment, programs and on formulas set by the Board of Education policy, state law, agreements with employee bargaining units, and guidelines of outside funding agencies. In addition, sites may receive program funding for GATE, AVID, CTE, Professional Development, Title I and Title III to provide additional support to the students.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$10,639	\$2,817	\$7,821	\$85,836
District	♦	♦	\$7,395	\$79,870
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			5.8	7.5
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			19.0	8.3

\* Cells with ♦ do not require data.