

# Walnut Avenue Elementary School

## 2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)



### General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

#### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>

For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>

For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

#### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## 2022-23 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Walnut Avenue Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	5550 Walnut Avenue
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Chino, CA 91710-4130
<b>Phone Number</b>	909-627-9817
<b>Principal</b>	Karen Morales
<b>Email Address</b>	karen_morales@chino.k12.ca.us
<b>School Website</b>	
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	36676786035588

## 2022-23 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	Chino Valley Unified School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(909) 628-1201
<b>Superintendent</b>	Norm Enfield, Ed.D.
<b>Email Address</b>	Email: There is a common format for e-mail addresses for every district employee:
<b>District Website Address</b>	www.chino.k12.ca.us

## 2022-23 School Overview

Walnut Avenue Elementary School is a place of excellence where students will recognize and achieve full potential in their academic, creative, personal, and moral development. This is accomplished by supporting students socially, emotionally, and academically through academic differentiation, interventions, extensions, and rigor.

We will:

- Promote positive community participation and facilitate continuous communication that ensures active parent involvement through school functions.
- Provide a safe and orderly school site that adheres to discipline that is firm, fair, progressive, and consistent through our Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS) system.
- Collaboratively establish, maintain, and accomplish high expectations through open-ended, creative thinking in academics and behavior.
- Model and provide positive reinforcement for good moral conduct following our PACK schoolwide expectations.

Our beliefs include:

- All pupils can learn and achieve at high standards regardless of gender, class, ethnicity, or culture.
- Social-emotional health and development is critical to achieve academic success.
- Achievement is tangible, clearly defined, and measurable.
- Strong 21st century instruction is necessary to prepare students to become college and career ready.
- Early and effective instructional and behavioral support occurs throughout the day to guarantee student success, so we offer Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS Academic and PBIS).
- Effective learning involves the entire community.
- A clean, safe, and orderly campus promotes social and academic success.

## About this School

### 2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	80
Grade 1	55
Grade 2	70
Grade 3	64
Grade 4	64
Grade 5	70
Grade 6	64
Total Enrollment	467

### 2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	48.2
Male	51.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	2.8
Black or African American	2.4
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	89.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Two or More Races	0.6
White	3.4
English Learners	28.9
Foster Youth	0.9
Homeless	19.3
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.1
Students with Disabilities	13.1



## **A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

## 2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	24.60	91.65	1103.10	90.57	228366.10	83.12
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	1.00	3.71	8.40	0.69	4205.90	1.53
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	33.50	2.75	11216.70	4.08
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	13.30	1.10	12115.80	4.41
<b>Unknown</b>	1.20	4.64	59.50	4.89	18854.30	6.86
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	26.90	100.00	1218.00	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	20.80	90.65	1120.50	91.33	234405.20	84.00
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.00	0.00	5.80	0.48	4853.00	1.74
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	1.10	4.96	22.50	1.84	12001.50	4.30
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.00	0.00	21.20	1.74	11953.10	4.28
<b>Unknown</b>	1.00	4.35	56.70	4.62	15831.90	5.67
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	23.00	100.00	1227.00	100.00	279044.80	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

### Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	0.00
Misassignments	0.00	1.10
Vacant Positions	0.00	0.00
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	0.00	1.10

### Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	0.00
Local Assignment Options	0.00	0.00
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	0.00	0.00

### 2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	0.00
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	2.40	0.00

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

## 2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

On October 6, 2022, the Chino Valley Unified School District's (CVUSD) Board of Education held a public hearing to certify the extent to which textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to students. The Board of Education adopted Resolution 2022/2023-16 which certifies as required by Education Code 60119 that (1) sufficient textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to each student, including English learners, that are aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and context of the curriculum frameworks in the following subjects: history/social science, mathematics, reading/language arts, and science, (2) sufficient textbooks or instructional materials were provided to each pupil enrolled in a foreign language and/or health classes, (3) laboratory equipment was available for science laboratory classes offered in grades 9-12, inclusive. Textbooks and instructional materials are provided for each student for use in class and to take home. It was determined that each pupil in each school has sufficient textbooks and instructional materials that are aligned to the academic content standards in the core subject areas for the 2022/2023 school year.

\*Please note: In reference to materials not from a most recent State Board Adoption (SBE): In November 2017, the California State Board of Education approved a list of History/Social Science and Science programs for districts to consider for their new adoption. CVUSD elected to continue to use current District adopted materials while further studying program options provided on the SBE list to consider for a future piloting process. CVUSD reviewed current District adopted materials and determined that they meet current History/Social Science and Science standards. TK materials are from CVUSD's most recent adoption as SBE does not adopt TK materials.

Year and month in which the data were collected

October 2022

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	TK Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Big Day Pre K Curriculum (Adopted: 2020) K-6 McGraw-Hill School Education; CA Reading Wonders (Adopted: 2017)	Yes	0
<b>Mathematics</b>	TK Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Big Day Pre K Curriculum (Adopted: 2020) K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman; enVision Math California (Adopted: 2014) 6-8 Houghton Mifflin & Harcourt, Big Ideas Math, Course 1, Course 2, and Course 3 (Adopted: 2015)	Yes	0
<b>Science</b>	TK Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Big Day Pre K Curriculum (Adopted: 2020) K-5 Twig Education; Twig Science (Adopted: 2022)*No (See note above) 6 Discover Education, Inc.; Grade 6 Science Techbook: California Volume 1 Unit 1 and 2 (Adopted: 2022) 6 Discover Education, Inc.; Grade 6 Science Techbook: California Volume 2 Unit 3 and 4 (Adopted: 2022)	Yes	0
<b>History-Social Science</b>	TK Houghton Mifflin Harcourt; Big Day Pre K Curriculum (Adopted: 2020) K-5 Harcourt School Publishers; Reflections: California Series (Adopted: 2007)*No (See note above) 6-8 Holt, Rinehart and Winston; Ancient Civilizations (Adopted: 2007)*No (See note above)	Yes	0
<b>Foreign Language</b>			
<b>Health</b>			

Visual and Performing Arts

Science Laboratory Equipment  
(grades 9-12)

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Chino Valley Unified School District (CVUSD) makes it a priority to maintain safe, clean, and effective school sites for our students, staff, and parents. The safety of students is of paramount importance to CVUSD. In the morning, staff supervision is provided to watch the cafeteria, entrance gates, and playground activities. During lunch and recess times, noon ground supervisors and teachers monitor students to ensure students interact safely. At the end of the school day, staff supervises student dismissal. The staff and student workspaces for this site are cleaned on a regular basis by the school site custodial staff. In addition to regular cleanings, deep cleanings are scheduled and carried out during breaks and vacation periods. The school facility is adequate and has sufficient classrooms, playgrounds, and staff spaces in order to operate efficiently. A recently completed school improvement for this site is the installation of classroom ViewSonic's, and construction of the administrative office building is scheduled to begin late 2023.

To ensure sites are clean, safe, and functional, Maintenance and Operations department staff conduct inspections at the school sites on a continual basis. School sites may also enter maintenance requests by accessing the School Dude system. After the school site request is entered into the system, a work order is generated, and the Maintenance staff works diligently to complete all work orders in a timely manner. The Maintenance team utilized the Facility Inspection Tool (FIT), a survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), to record inspection results. The District's inspection took place from October 20, 2022 through December 20, 2022, the overall rating of this site's most recent survey is EXEMPLARY, and any associated repairs were completed on or before December 30, 2022. On an annual basis, the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools conducts Williams visits to monitored school sites to evaluate the sufficiency of the instructional materials and to ensure that school site facilities are in good repair. The report indicated that no extreme deficiencies were observed, and the following good repair deficiencies were remedied during the visit on August 10, 2022: (a) Computer cords not secured properly in library and (b) Unsecured lunch bench area posed a safety hazard to students.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

10/20/2022 - 12/20/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			Room 04: 4: (D) Hazard(s) appear in the flooring. (Torn carpeting, missing floor tiles, or holes appear. Carpet gap, missing pieces in multiple areas.)
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical</b>	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			Room 19: 9: (D) Water pressure is inadequate. Pressure is low.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b>	X			



## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Structural Damage, Roofs				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			Room 08: 15: (D) Doors are not intact or have other conditions that could present safety hazards. Entry Door does not close properly. Needs repair.

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**  
The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

#### Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	37	N/A	58	N/A	47
<b>Mathematics</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	24	N/A	44	N/A	33

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	258	249	96.51	3.49	36.95
<b>Female</b>	128	123	96.09	3.91	43.09
<b>Male</b>	129	125	96.90	3.10	31.20
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	235	227	96.60	3.40	37.44
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>English Learners</b>	62	58	93.55	6.45	3.45
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Homeless</b>	67	64	95.52	4.48	40.63
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	209	205	98.09	1.91	36.10
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	38	34	89.47	10.53	8.82

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	258	253	98.06	1.94	23.72
<b>Female</b>	128	127	99.22	0.78	18.90
<b>Male</b>	129	125	96.90	3.10	28.80
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	235	230	97.87	2.13	22.61
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>English Learners</b>	62	62	100.00	0.00	11.29
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Homeless</b>	67	66	98.51	1.49	18.18
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	209	207	99.04	0.96	21.74
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	38	34	89.47	10.53	2.94

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
<b>Science</b> (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	14.06	NT	35.24	28.5	29.47

## 2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	65	64	98.46	1.54	14.06
<b>Female</b>	24	24	100	0	12.5
<b>Male</b>	40	39	97.5	2.5	15.38
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Black or African American</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	62	61	98.39	1.61	13.11
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>English Learners</b>	16	16	100	0	0
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	17	17	100	0	17.65
<b>Military</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	54	53	98.15	1.85	15.09
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	--	--	--	--	--

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

#### 2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	96.3	97.7	96.2	96.9	96.4

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

#### 2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Walnut Avenue has an action plan in place to assist the school in reaching its goals for academic and social achievement by partnering with the family and community. Some of these partnerships include:

- Parent Education Workshops:

12 Powers of Family

Internet Safety

Computer Apps used at school and how to maneuver through them.

PBIS for Parents

- Title 1 Parent Involvement Meetings
- LCAP Meeting
- School Site Council (SSC)
- Parent Faculty Association (PFA)
- English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC)
- GATE Parent Meetings
- Partnership with Chino Human Services and our on-site HOPE Parent Resource Center
- Saturday School for Attendance Make-Up
- Back to School Night and Open House

## 2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	506	494	209	42.3
Female	248	244	103	42.2
Male	257	249	105	42.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	1	1	100.0
Asian	14	13	2	15.4
Black or African American	12	12	8	66.7
Filipino	4	4	1	25.0
Hispanic or Latino	447	437	185	42.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	5	4	3	75.0
White	19	19	8	42.1
English Learners	157	152	67	44.1
Foster Youth	5	5	1	20.0
Homeless	114	113	39	34.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	405	397	168	42.3
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	73	69	44	63.8

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety



## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.32	1.61	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.08	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.00	0.99	0.36	2.67	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.07

## 2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.99	0.00
Female	0.81	0.00
Male	1.17	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	1.12	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.88	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1.23	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	1.37	0.00

## 2022-23 School Safety Plan

Each school has a detailed School Site Safety Plan for emergencies that is annually reviewed, updated, and discussed by the School Site Council. The date on which the School Site Safety Plan was last annually reviewed was March 2022, and the plans are reviewed monthly by the School Site Council. Each staff member is assigned a specific responsibility in the event of an emergency. Staff members are trained in the plan's components and procedures. Fire, earthquake, and intruder drills are held on a regular basis so that all students and staff are familiar with emergency procedures. Emergency supplies are located on each campus in the event of an emergency.

Assigned staff monitors school grounds daily before, during, and after school. The District policy regarding campus visitors is enforced by requiring everyone to check in at the school office and obtain a visitor's badge. A visitor sign-in log is located in the school office and all visitors must sign in before going onto the campus. In addition, all visitors must wear an identifying badge while on campus. To increase security, all gates remain locked during the school day, so visitors must enter campus through the school office.

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to providing an environment that fosters health and safety, in both form and function. This commitment extends to the process of developing and maintaining a comprehensive Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan as a part of the District's Safe Schools Plan and is evident from the individual site to the overall District. These plans delineate actions to protect all students while they are at school. The plans are designed with the help of security staff members, local law enforcement, local fire, and emergency management, and public health officials as required by Education Code 32280-32282.

Plans are reviewed and updated yearly in accordance with Education Code 32286. These plans include procedures to respond to critical incidents, such as fire, earthquake, or intruders. School personnel practice these drills regularly.

The primary purpose of the Chino Valley Unified School District Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan is to define roles and responsibilities at the site and between the site and district office management. The Emergency and Disaster Preparedness Plan establishes the minimum requirements for school and site plans throughout the District. This Plan meets the requirements of the Standardized Emergency Management System (SEMS) as mandated by California Code of Regulations, Sections 2400-2450, and the National Incident Management System (NIMS) as mandated by Government Code 8607. It also meets the requirements for earthquake preparedness found in Title 5 (California State Education Code, Sections 35295-35297).

### D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	25		4	
1	22		3	
2	24		3	
3	23		3	
4	27		3	
5	33		1	
6	29		3	
Other	6	3		

## 2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	8	9		
1	25		3	
2	16	2	2	
3	22	1	2	
4	23		3	
5	18	1	3	
6	20	1	4	
Other	5	4		

## 2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Classes” indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	26		3	
1	17	3		
2	17	1	3	
3	12	5		
4	21	1	2	
5	34			1
6	21	1	2	
Other	4	5		

## 2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	3.0

## 2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13,567	\$4,277	\$9,290	\$83,890
District	N/A	N/A	\$9,647	\$88,458
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-3.8	-5.3
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$85,368
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	33.9	-1.7

## 2021-22 Types of Services Funded

The District's general fund includes monies for:

1. General operations- services, materials, and support to the general education.
2. Specific education-programs offering appropriate, individualized education to students with special needs.
3. Special projects- monies from agencies (e.g., federal, state) earmarked for specific services.
4. Transportation
5. Maintenance and operations
6. District administration
7. LCFF/LCAP- Serves targeted students of the district See District's LCAP plan located on [www.cvusd.k12.ca.us](http://www.cvusd.k12.ca.us)

Each school in the District receives an instructional budget based upon enrollment, programs and on formulas set by the Board of Education policy, state law, agreements with employee bargaining units, and guidelines of outside funding agencies. In addition, sites may receive program funding for GATE, AVID, CTE, Professional Development, Title I and Title III to provide additional support to the students.

## 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,914	\$51,081
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$79,938	\$77,514
Highest Teacher Salary	\$106,608	\$105,764
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$123,494	\$133,421
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$124,556	\$138,594
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$139,243	\$153,392
Superintendent Salary	\$288,509	\$298,377
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	34%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

## Professional Development

The Chino Valley Unified School District is committed to high-quality Professional Learning to support the instructional capacity of teachers and leaders. Professional learning opportunities are aligned to the Essential Standards, Positive School Culture; Timeline Feedback on Formative Assessments; Collaboration Strategies for Students; Integration of Technology and Use of Digital Tools; Accessing, Processing, and Analyzing Data; and Professional Learning Communities.

For the 2022-23 school year, the Areas of Emphasis are Student Engagement through Collaborative Communication and Professional Learning Communities. Student Engagement through Collaborative Communication includes preparing to engage, listening, engaging, and responding, and self-reflection. Professional Learning Communities address the following 4 description questions/student observables: (1) What do we want all students to learn?/Students are aware of the essential standards/learning targets/success criteria (2) How do we know if the students are learning it?/Students use rubrics, reflection sheets, success criteria, to self-assess their learning, and students are provided the opportunity to demonstrate the mastery of their learning through multiple opportunities (3) What will we do if the students are not learning it?/Students participate in differentiated instruction based on not meeting the learning targets (i.e. small group, individual) (4) What will we do when students have learned it?/Students participate in extension based on the mastery of learning targets.

Professional learning opportunities are evaluated through survey results, feedback, and next steps from end-users.

Professional learning opportunities are varied in its delivery: district-wide days during school hours, after-school workshops, site-based PD offerings, and volunteer sessions. Implementation for learning is supported through Site-Based PD from Site Administrators and coaching opportunities from Instructional Coaches. Additionally, professional development is provided for new special education teachers covering areas such as compliance, development of Individualized Education Programs (IEPs), classroom management, and effective instructional strategies. The Special Education Department supports school site staff with Crisis Prevention Intervention (CPI) training regularly as well as options for trainings in areas such as Universal Design for Learning, Collaboration and Coteaching, Writing IEPs for Educational Benefit, and Special Education Training for Administrators. The Chino Valley Teacher Induction Program provides initial and on-going mentor training to its large quantity of in-house teacher-mentors and limited structured professional development for its induction teacher candidates. All mentors are provided one, 5-hour day of synchronous training, followed by five bi-monthly new mentor on-going support meetings, as well as alternating monthly mentor meetings, for a total of 15 hours of targeted mentor training. These mentor meetings provide coaching support for mentors to address the local need for teachers that are organized around supporting new teachers regarding social-emotional learning, promoting teacher self-awareness, providing positive behavioral supports for students, multi-tiered systems of support for students, and universal design for learning. Induction candidates are provided with three hours of targeted program support, fifteen hours of required self-selected professional development, and weekly, one-hour, mentor-facilitated opportunities for individual support with a focus on offering structured feedback and coaching as part of their induction program.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>		3	2